

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,040	07/12/2001	Gary A. Demos	07314-011001	2221
20985	7590 11/08/2	4	EXAM	INER
	CHARDSON, PC	AN, SH	AN, SHAWN S	
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
		•	DATE MAIL ED- 11 (09/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/905,040	DEMOS, GARY A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shawn S An	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	<u>luly 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,27 and 53</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)  Claim(s) <u>1,27 and 53</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041 1 07			

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. As per Applicant's instructions as filed on 7/13/04, claims 1, 27, and 53 have been amended, and claims 2-26, 28-52, and 54-85 have been canceled.

### Response to Remarks

2. Applicant's argument with respect to amended claims 1, 27, and 53 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sekiguchi et al (6,493,385 B1).

**Regarding claims 1 and 53,** Sekiguchi et al discloses a system and a method for coding video frames in a video compression system having coding mode biases, including:

means for inputting video frames to be compressed (Fig. 4, 201);

means (Fig. 4) for automatically scaling (variable) the coding mode biases for such video frames as a function of the number of bits used to represent samples of the input image for the video frames being compressed (col. 3, lines 7-24).

Art Unit: 2613

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al (6,493,385 B1).

Regarding claim 27, Sekiguchi et al discloses a system and a method for coding video frames in a video compression system having coding mode biases, including:

means for inputting video frames to be compressed (Fig. 4, 201);

means (Fig. 4) for automatically scaling (variable) the coding mode biases for such video frames as a function of the number of bits used to represent samples of the input image for the video frames being compressed (col. 3, lines 7-24).

Further, Sekiguchi et al discloses using a program for selecting the coding mode table to be used (col. 9, lines 1-11).

Furthermore, the Examiner takes official notice that computer program for coding video frames in a video compression system is well known in the art for saving costs associated with the hardware apparatus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a system/method for encoding compressed video frames as taught by Sekiguchi et al to incorporate the computer program having instructions for causing a computer to perform all of the above limitations for saving costs associated with hardware apparatus.

Art Unit: 2613

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Shawn S An** whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The Examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2613

10. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

50

SSA

Primary Patent Examiner

11/7/04